arXiv:math/9901024v1 [math.RA] 6 Jan 1999

TRANSACTIONS OF THE
AMERICAN MATHEMATICAL SOCIETY
Volume 00, Number 0, Xxxx XXXX, Pages 000–000
S 0002-9947(XX)0000-0

THE EMBEDDING THEOREM FOR A MIXED VERBAL WREATH PRODUCT IN LIE ALGEBRAS REPRESENTATIONS

L. A. SIMONIAN
Rīga Aviation University, 1 Lomonosov St., Rīga LV 1019, Latvia

Abstract. The mixed verbal wreath product in representations of Lie algebras defined in Reference [4] is a construction parallel to the verbal wreath product of Lie algebras introduced by A. L. Shmelkin [3]. It is shown that the analog of the group theorem on embedding in the verbal wreath product [3], also holds for representations of Lie algebras.

Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a multihomogeneous variety of representations of Lie algebras over a field K, and L be an absolutely free Lie algebra over K with a system of free generators$\{x_i, i \in I\}$. Suppose that M is an ideal of L, and $\mathcal{F}$ is absolutely free associative algebra with unity, generated freely by $\{x_i, i \in I\}$. Furthermore, assume that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is the universal enveloping algebra of M, $\mathcal{F}_1 = U(M)$, L_1 is an absolutely free Lie algebra over K with a system of free generators $\{y_i, i \in I\}$, Φ is an absolutely free associative algebra with unity generated freely by $\{y_i, i \in I\}$. Next, $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1)$ is assumed to be a free cyclic representation of the variety $\mathcal{X}$ generated freely by $\{y_i, i \in I\}$. Finally, let us denote by $\bar{x}$ the image of $x \in L$ in L/M under canonical epimorphism.* Then *the mapping $x_i \to y_i + \bar{x}_i$ is extended to the monomorphism of $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M), L)$ in $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/M$.*

Corollary. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a multihomogeneous variety of Lie algebras representation, and Θ be a variety of Lie algebras over K. Then the free cyclic pair $(\mathcal{F}/(X \times \Theta)^*(\mathcal{F}, L), L)$ of the variety $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$ is isomorphic to subrepresentation of mixed wreath $\mathcal{X}$-product $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L)$, generated by the elements $y_i + \bar{x}_i$. Here $L, \mathcal{F}, L_1$ and Φ are the same as in the Theorem and $\bar{x}_i$ is the image of $x_i \in L$ in $L/\Theta(L)$.*

The mixed verbal wreath product was introduced in [4]. This construction is parallel to verbal wreath product of Lie algebras that had been defined by A. L. Shmelkin in [3]. In this paper we prove the embedding theorem for mixed verbal wreath products. Let us give the definition of the mixed verbal wreath product (see Ref.[4]).

Definition 1. Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a variety of representations of Lie algebras over a ring K with 1, $(V, A) \in \mathcal{X}$, and B be an arbitrary Lie algebra over K. Lie pair (G, Γ) is referred to as *a mixed verbal wreath product* or *mixed wreath $\mathcal{X}$-product* of $(V, A) \in \mathcal{X}$ and B, if the following conditions are satisfied:

1. (V, A) is a subpair of (G, Γ);
2. A and B generate Γ;
3. V generates G as Γ-module;
4. If P is ideal of Γ, generated by A, then pair $(G, P) \in \mathcal{X}$;
5. If $\varphi : (V, A) \to (G_1, \Gamma_1)$ and $\psi : B \to \Gamma_1$ are homomorphisms such that

©1997 American Mathematical Society

(a) $\varphi(A)$ and $\psi(B)$ generate Γ_1,
(b) $\varphi(V)$ generates G_1, as Γ_1-module.
(c) $(G_1, \Phi) \in \mathcal{X}$, where Φ is ideal of Γ_1, generated by $\varphi(A)$,

then the unique homomorphism $\chi : (G, \Gamma) \to (G_1, \Gamma_1)$ exists, such that the restriction of χ to (V, A) coincides with φ, and the restriction of χ to B coincides with ψ.

We shall denote the mixed wreath $\mathcal{X}$-product of (V, A) and B by $(V, A) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} B$. Other definitions of mixed verbal product and its the most important properties are considered in [4]. If K is a field and (V, A) is a free pair of a multihomogeneous variety $\mathcal{X}$ then the mixed wreath $\mathcal{X}$-product may be constructed as follows. Let $Y = \{y_i, i \in I\}$ be a system of free generators of V, $U = U(B)$ be the universal enveloping algebra for B, $Z = \{z_n, n \in J\}$ be a basis of U and $W = U(P)$ be the universal enveloping algebra for P. Let us construct an $\mathcal{X}$-free representation of Lie algebra P in vector space generated by elements $x_i \otimes z_n, i \in I, n \in J$, *freely* with respect to P. This representation will have the form:

$$((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W / \mathcal{X}^*((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W), P), P), \tag{1}$$

where V^* is a subspace of V spanned by Y, $\mathcal{X}^*((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W), P)$ is a verbal submodule corresponding to $\mathcal{X}$ of pair $((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W), P)$. The action of B on generators $x_i \otimes z_n$ is determined by the rule: $(x_i \otimes z_n)b = x_i \otimes z_n b$, where $z_n b$ is a product within U. Thus $V^* \otimes_K U$ is free U-module generated freely by $y_i, i \in I$.The action of B on $V^* \otimes_K U$ may be unuquely extended to the action on $(V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W$ by the formula:

$$((v \otimes u) \otimes w)b = (v \otimes ub) \otimes w + (v \otimes u) \otimes [w, b]. \tag{2}$$

Together with the action of P on $(V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W$ the latter determines the action of $\Gamma = P \lambda B$ on $(V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W$. Since the verbal submodule $\mathcal{X}^*((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W, P)$ is invariant under derivations that are induced by derivations of P, we may consider the following pair:

$$((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W / \mathcal{X}^*((V^* \otimes_K U) \otimes_K W, P), \Gamma). \tag{3}$$

This pair is the mixed wreath $\mathcal{X}$-product $(V, A) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} B$.

A variety $\mathcal{X}$ is called a multihomogeneous variety if for any identity $yv(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ of variety $\mathcal{X}$ the identity $yv_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n) = 0$ also holds in $\mathcal{X}$. Here $v = \sum_\alpha v_\alpha(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ is the multihomogeneous decomposition of $v(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$.

Proposition 1. *Let (V, L) be a free cyclic representation of a multihomogeneous variety $\mathcal{X}$ with the system of free generators $X = \{x_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$, Y be a subset of L, that is linearly independent modulo L^2 and (W, M) be the cyclic subrepresentation of representation (V, L), generated by Y and e, where e is the generator of L-module V. Then (W, M) is a free representation of the variety $\mathcal{X}$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be a variety of all trivial representations over field K, that are representations in which L acts as zero algebra. For $\mathcal{X} = S$ the assertion of the Proposition is evident. Therefore, let us assume that $\mathcal{X} \neq S$. By the condition L is free Lie algebra with the system of free generators $X = \{x_\alpha, \alpha \in I\}$, and $V = \mathcal{F}/U$, where $\mathcal{F}$ is free associative algebra with unity, that is generated by X, and U is the ideal of identities which respond to $\mathcal{X}$. Here as a free generator of V we take the image of unity e of the algebra $\mathcal{F}$ in V. Let Z be a set of the same cardinality as Y, and φ is bijective map of Z onto Y. Let (V_1, L_1) denote the free cyclic representation of variety $\mathcal{X}$, generated by Z. The map φ can be extended to the homomorphism $\bar{\varphi}$ of pair (V_1, L_1) onto (W, M). If $\bar{\varphi}$ is not isomorphism, then for some nonzero element $v_1 \in V_1$ we have $\bar{\varphi}(v_1) = 0$. Let v_1 be expressed in terms of the elements $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m$ from Z, and let $\varphi(z_i) = y_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Denote by (A, H) and (B, G) the subpairs in (V_1, L_1) and (W, M) generated by $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_m$ and $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$, respectively. The restriction $\bar{\varphi}$ to (A, H) is homomorphism of the (free in $\mathcal{X}$) pair (A, H) onto (B, G) and is not injective. Therefore, it is possible to limit ourselves to the case of finite set $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$ be the set of elements from X, and let the elements $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m\}$ be expressed through the former. Denote by L_1 the subalgebra of L generated by $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Since $L_1^2 \subset L^2$, the elements $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ are linearly independent modulo L_1^2. Therefore it is possible to consider X a finite set as well. ¿From the definition of L it then follows that $\dim L/L^2 = n \geq m$. Since $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ are linearly independent modulo L^2, the system $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m$ can be completed to the basis modulo L^2 with elements of $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}$. Upon renumbering, if necessary, added elements from X we end up with a basis set $Y' = y_1, y_2, \dots, y_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_n$. Denote by M_1 the subalgebra of L, generated by Y' and by (W_1, M_1) the corresponding subpair in (V, L). The map $\psi : x_1 \to y_1, \dots, x_m \to y_m, x_{m+1} \to x_{m+1}, \dots, x_n \to x_n$ is extended to homomorphism ψ of pair (V, L) onto pair (W_1, M_1). Let us prove that ψ is isomorphism. Suppose the contrary: there is $v \neq 0$ that belongs to $Ker\psi$. Since $\bigcap\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}'^n = 0$, an n exists, such that $v \notin \mathcal{F}'^n$. Since $\psi(\mathcal{F}'^n) \subseteq \mathcal{F}'^n$, mapping ψ induces homomorphism $\bar{\psi}$ of pair $(\mathcal{F}/U + \mathcal{F}'^n, L) \approx (\mathcal{F}/U_2 + U_3 + \dots + U_{n-1} + \mathcal{F}'^n, L)$ into itself. Here U_i is homogeneous component of the degree i of the ideal of identities U and $\mathcal{F}'$ is ideal of $\mathcal{F}$, generated by X. Since $(\mathcal{F}/U + \mathcal{F}'^n, L)$ is finitely stable representation, the set Y' is a system of generators. Therefore, $\bar{\psi}$ is surjective mapping of finitedimensional linear space $\mathcal{F}/U + \mathcal{F}'^n$ onto itself. Consequently, $\bar{\psi}$ is isomorphism. Hence, $v \in \mathcal{F}'^n$, which brings us to a contradiction. Hence, ψ is isomorphism and (W_1, M_1) is $\mathcal{X}$-free representation. □

Lemma 1. [3] *Let A be an abelian ideal of a Lie algebra B over ring K, $G = B/A$ and G — free K-modules. Then B is isomorphic to the subalgebra (that we denote also by B) of a semidirect product $S = C \leftthreetimes G$, where C is some abelian Lie algebra and $C \cap B = A$.*

Proof. As is well known, A can be regarded as G-module if the action of G in A is defined by the formula $ag = [a, b]$, where b is an arbitrary element of the coset g. Proceeding from a decomposition $B = A \oplus H$, where H is a compliment of the subspace A with respect to B, we can define a system of representatives by means of a one-to-one linear mapping $\sigma : G \to H$, such that $\overline{g\sigma} = g$. Here the coset containing h is denoted by $\bar{h}$. The bilinear mapping $f(g_1, g_2) = [g_1^\sigma, g_2^\sigma] - [g_1, g_2]^\sigma$ of $B \times B$ into A produces the set of elements $f(g_i, g_j)$ in A that is usually called a factor set. H is a subalgebra if and only if $f(g_i, g_j)$ is zero mapping. For a given f, the Lie algebra B, which is an extension of A by B/A, is defined as a linear space $A \times G$ with a commutator:

$$[(a_1, g_1), (a_2, g_2)] = (a_1 g_2 - a_2 g_1 + f(g_1, g_2), [g_1, g_2]). \tag{4}$$

The split extension is obtained when $f(g_1, g_2) \equiv 0$.

Let U be a universal enveloping algebra of G; then A is a U-module. U-module A can be inserted isomorphically into some injective U-module C. Let S be an extension of C by G with the factor set f. Such an extension is possible because A is inserted into C. It is clear that $B \subset S$ and $B \cap C = A$. Since C is the injective G-module, the extension S is split[1]. Hence, S can be considered as a semidirect product $C\lambda G$, which makes the proof complete. ☐

Let us also define the isomorphism μ of the extension S and the semidirect product $C\lambda G$. As is well known (see Ref.[2]) the extension S is the split extension if and only if there is a linear mapping ρ of G into C, such that

$$f(g_1, g_2) = g_1^\rho g_2 - g_2^\rho g_1 - [g_1, g_2]^\rho. \tag{5}$$

Let us define a mapping μ of S onto $C\lambda G$ by $(a, g)\mu = (a + g^\rho, g)$

Lemma 2. *The mapping μ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. If $(a_1, g_1), (a_2, g_2) \in S$, then $(a_1, g_1)\mu = (a_1 + g_1^\rho, g_1), (f_2, g_2)\mu = (a_2 + g_2^\rho, g_2)$, and $[(a_1, g_1)\mu, (a_2, g_2)\mu] = [(a_1 + g_1^\rho, g_1), (a_2 + g_2^\rho, g_2)] = (a_1g_2 - a_2g_1 + g_1^\rho g_2 - g_2^\rho g_1, [g_1, g_2])$. On the other hand, $[(a_1, g_1), (a_2, g_2)]\mu = (a_1g_2 - a_2g_1 + f(g_1, g_2), [g_1, g_2])\mu = (a_1g_2 - a_2g_1 + f(g_1, g_2) + [g_1, g_2]^\rho, [g_1, g_2])$. By the splitting condition $(a_1g_2 - a_2g_1 + g_1^\rho g_2 - g_2^\rho g_1, [g_1, g_2]) = (a_1g_2 - a_2g_1 + f(g_1, g_2) + [g_1, g_2]^\rho, [g_1, g_2])$. Hence μ is the homomorphism S into $C\lambda G$. Furthermore, $(a, g)\mu = (a + g^\rho, g) = 0$ implies $g = 0$. Consequently, $g^\rho = 0$ and $a = 0$. Thus the mapping μ is the injection. The surjective property of μ is no less obvious: for an arbitrary $(a, g) \in C\lambda G$, $(a - g^\rho, g)\mu = (a, g)$ is true. Thus μ is an isomorphism. ☐

In view of this, for the injection ν of B into S determined in the Lemma above, we have $b\nu = \bar{b} + c$, where b is any element of B and $\bar{b}$ is its image under epimorphism $B \to G$, and $c \in C$.

Lemma 3. *Let $L = L(\{x_i, i \in I\})$ be an absolutely free Lie algebra over ring K and $\{x_i, i \in I\}$ be the set of its free generators. Let M be an ideal of L, $L_1 = L(\{y_i, i \in I\})$ an absolutely free Lie algebra with a set of free generators $\{y_i, i \in I\}$, L/M be a free K-module, and P be an ideal in $L_1 * L/M$ generated by L_1. Then there is an injection of M/M^2 into P/P^2.*

Proof. P is absolutely free algebra with a system of free generators $\{y_i e_{\alpha_1} e_{\alpha_2} \cdots e_{\alpha_n}, i \in I, \alpha_1 \leq \alpha_2 \leq \cdots \leq \alpha_n\}$, where $\{e_\alpha, \alpha \in A\}$ is a basis for L/M over K, and A is *well-ordered set*[3]. $L_1 * L/M$ is semidirect product $P\lambda L/M$. The mapping $\varphi : x_i \to y_i + \bar{x}_i$ – where $\bar{x}_i$ is the image of x_i in L/M – is extended to homomorphism $\varphi : L \to L_1 * L/M$, and φ maps M into P. Since P^2 is an ideal of $P\lambda L/M$, it is possible to consider the algebra $(P/P^2)\lambda L/M$ and canonical homomorphism $\kappa : L_1 * L/M \to (P/P^2)\lambda L/M$. The composition χ of homomorphisms φ and κ is the homomorphism of L into $(P/P^2)\lambda L/M$. The kernel of χ contains M^2 and, on the other hand, lies in M. The homomorphism χ induces homomorphism $\tilde{\chi} : L/M^2 \to (P/P^2)\lambda L/M$. The algebra L/M^2, being the extension of M/M^2 by L/M, can be isomorphically embedded, by Lemma 2, into some semidirect product $S = C\lambda L/M$. Let us denote this injection by ν. Then by lemma 1, we have $\nu(x_i) = c_i + \bar{x}_i$. Here again we have denoted by x_i the image of x_i in L/M^2 and c_i is the same element of C. By definition of the free product there is a homomorphism $\sigma : L_1 * L/M \to C\lambda L/M$ extending the mapping γ of L_1 into C (that is defined by the formula $y_i\gamma = c_i$) and the identity mapping of L/M onto itself. Since C is abelian the ideal P^2 lies in the kernel of this mapping. Thus we have the mapping $\sigma : (P/P^2)\lambda L/M$ into $C\lambda L/M$ and, at the same time, the commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} L/M^2 \arrow[r, "\tilde{\chi}"] \arrow[d, "\nu"] & (P/P^2)\lambda L/M \arrow[dl, "\tilde{\sigma}"] \\ C\lambda L/M & \end{tikzcd} .$$

Since ν is a monomorphism and $\nu = \tilde{\sigma}\tilde{\chi}$, the mapping $\tilde{\chi}$ is also monomorphism and, consequently, M/M^2 is isomorphically embedded into P/P^2. $\square$

It should be noted that Lemma 3 is essentially a parafrase of the corresponding assertion in Ref.[3]. For the discussion that follows, we need this result in the specific form of Lemma 3.

Theorem 1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a multihomogeneous variety of representations of Lie algebras over a field K, and L be an absolutely free Lie algebra over K with a system of free generators$\{x_i, i \in I\}$. Suppose that M is an ideal of L, and $\mathcal{F}$ is absolutely free associative algebra with unity, generated freely by $\{x_i, i \in I\}$. Furthemore, assume that $\mathcal{F}_1$ is the universal enveloping algebra of M, $\mathcal{F}_1 = U(M)$, L_1 is an absolutely free Lie algebra over K with a system of free generators $\{y_i, i \in I\}$, Φ is an absolutely free associative algebra with unity generated freely by $\{y_i, i \in I\}$. Next, $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1)$ is assumed to be a free cyclic representation of the variety $\mathcal{X}$ generated freely by $\{y_i, i \in I\}$. Finally, let us denote by $\bar{x}$ the image of $x \in L$ in L/M under canonical epimorphism.* Then *the mapping $x_i \to y_i + \bar{x}_i$ is extended to the monomorphism of $(\mathcal{F}/\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M), L)$ in $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/M$.*

Proof. The mapping $\varphi : x_i \to y_i + \bar{x}_i$ is extended to the homomorphism $\varphi : L \to L_1 * L/M$, with φ mapping M into P. The homomorphism φ is extended to the homomorphism $\varphi : \mathcal{F} \to U(L_1 * L/M)$, with φ mapping $\mathcal{F}_1$ into $W = U(P)$. The composition of φ and the canonical homomorphism of $U(L_1 * L/M)$ onto $U(L_1 * L/M)/\mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P)$ yields a homomorphism $\psi : \mathcal{F} \to U(L_1 * L/M)/\mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P)$. The homomorphism φ maps $\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$ into $\mathcal{X}^*(W, P)$, and therefore, $\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M)$ is mapped into $U(L_1 * L/M)\mathcal{X}^*(W, P) = \mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P)$. Thus we have the homomorphism $\tilde{\psi} : \mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M) \to U(L_1 * L/M)/\mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P)$ that is simultaneously the homomorphism of the representation $(\mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M), L)$ in $(U(L_1 * L/M)/\mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P), L_1 * L/M)$. Now let's make use of the fact that $L_1 * L/M = P\lambda L/M$ and $U(L_1 * L/M) = U \otimes_K W$, where $U = U(L/M)$. But then $(U(L_1 * L/M)/\mathcal{X}^*(U(L_1 * L/M), P), L * L/M)$ and $(U \otimes_K W/\mathcal{X}^*(W, P), P\lambda L/M)$ are isomorphic. We then label this isomorphism ε_2.

Consider now the representation $(\mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M), L)$. $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n, \ldots$ is a well-ordered basis of a complement of M with respect to L. Then $\mathcal{F} = F_1 + \sum e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \mathcal{F}_1, i_1 \leq i_2 \leq \cdots \leq i_n$. This implies $\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M) = \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M) + \sum e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$ and $\mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M) = \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M), e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \mathcal{F}_1 \cap \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M) = e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$.

Then $\mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M) = (\mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M))/\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M) + \sum(e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} \mathcal{F}_1 + \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M))/\mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M) \approx \mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*F_1, M) + \sum e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M))$. Let us label this isomorphism by ε_1. The isomorphism ε_1 is also the isomorphism of representations $(\mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M), L)$ and $(\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M) + \sum e_{i_1} e_{i_2} \cdots e_{i_n} (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)), L)$.

The action of L in the latter pair is defined by the rule: if $f \in \mathcal{F}_1$ and $\bar{f}$ is image of f in the canonical homomorphism $\mathcal{F}_1 \to \mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$, then $(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\bar{f})m = e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\overline{fm}$, if $m \in M$ and $(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\bar{f})p = (e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}p)\bar{f} + e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\overline{[f,p]}$, if p belongs to a complement of M with respect to L, for which the basis $e_1, e_2, \dots e_n, \dots$ has been chosen. Recall that the action in a pair $(U \otimes_K W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P), P\lambda L/M)$ is defined in a similar way, namely: if $w \in W$ and $\bar{w}$ is an image of w under the homomorphism $W \to W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P)$, then $(u \otimes \bar{w})p = u \otimes \overline{wp}$, when $p \in P$ and $(u \otimes \bar{w})l = ul \otimes \overline{[w,l]}$, if $l \in L/M$.

Let us consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(\mathcal{F}/FX^*(F_1, M), L) \arrow[r, "\tilde{\psi}"] \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_1"] & (U(\mathcal{L})/\mathcal{X}^*(U(\mathcal{L}), P), \mathcal{L}) \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_2"] \\
E \arrow[r, "\delta"] & (U \otimes_K W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P), P\lambda L/M)
\end{tikzcd},$$

where $\mathcal{L} = L_1 * L/M$ and $E = (\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M) + \sum e_{i_1}\cdots e_{i_n}\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M), L)$, and prove that δ is an isomorphism. Since ε_1 is a monomorphism the equality $\delta\varepsilon_1 = \tilde{\psi}\varepsilon_2$ implies that $\tilde{\psi}$ is also a monomorphism. The mapping φ maps M into P and $\mathcal{F}_1$ into W. The composition of φ and canonical homomorphism $W \to W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P)$ gives the homomorphism $\gamma : \mathcal{F}_1 \to W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P)$ which, in turn, induces the homomorphism $\tilde{\gamma} : \mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, P) \to W/\mathcal{X}^*(W,P)$. By the definition of δ, its restriction on $\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$ coincides with $\tilde{\gamma}$. Indeed, if $f_1 \in \mathcal{F}_1$, then $\delta(f_1 + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)) = \varepsilon_2\tilde{\psi}\varepsilon_1^{-1}(f_1 + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)) = \varepsilon_2\tilde{\psi}(f_1 + \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M)) = \varepsilon_2(\varphi(f_1) + \mathcal{X}^*(U \otimes_K \mathcal{X}^*(W,P))) = \varphi(f_1) + \mathcal{X}^*(W,P) = \tilde{\gamma}(f_1 + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M))$. Let us prove that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a monomorphism. By Lemma 3, the mapping $\varphi(x_i) = y_i + \bar{x}_i$ induces injection of M/M^2 into P/P^2. Therefore, by Proposition, the pair $(\tilde{\gamma}(\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M), \varphi(M))$ is $\mathcal{X}$-free pair, generated freely by elements of $\varphi(M)$ that are linearly independent modulo P^2. This implies that $\tilde{\gamma}$ is a monomorphism. The mapping φ maps e_i to $\varphi(e_i) = w_i + \bar{e}_i$, where $w_i \in P$, and $\bar{e}_i \in L/M$. It is clear that $\bar{e}_i$ forms a basis of L/M. Now let us order the monomials $e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}$ postulating that a monomial of a greater degree is greater; the monomials of the same degree are ordered lexicographically. This ordering rule is carried over to monomials $\bar{e}_{i_1}\bar{e}_{i_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{i_n}$ that form a basis of $U = U(L/M)$.

Now let $u = \bar{f}_1 + \sum e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\bar{f}_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n}$ be an arbitrary element $\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M) + \sum e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}(\mathcal{F}_1/\mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M))$. Here $\bar{f}_1 = f_1 + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$, $\bar{f}_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n} = f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n} + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)$, and $f_1, f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n} \in \mathcal{F}_1$. We need to prove that $\delta(u) \neq 0$. One has: $\delta(u) = \delta(\bar{f}_1 + \sum e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}\bar{f}_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n}) = \varepsilon_2\tilde{\psi}\varepsilon_1^{-1}((f_1 + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)) + \sum e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}(f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n} + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M))) = \varepsilon_2\tilde{\psi}((f_1 + \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M)) + \sum(e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n} + \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, M))) = \varepsilon_2((\varphi(f_1) + \mathcal{X}^*(U \otimes_K \mathcal{X}^*(W,P))) + \sum(w_{i_1} + \bar{e}_{i_1})(w_{i_2} + \bar{e}_{i_2})\cdots(w_{i_n} + \bar{e}_{i_n})\varphi(f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n}) + \mathcal{X}^*(U \otimes_K \mathcal{X}^*(W,P))))$. If we decompose $(w_{i_1} + \bar{e}_{i_1})(w_{i_2} + \bar{e}_{i_2})\cdots(w_{i_n} + \bar{e}_{i_n})\varphi(f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n})$ by the components of the sum $U \otimes_K W = W + \sum \bar{e}_{i_1}\bar{e}_{i_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{i_n}W$, then $\bar{e}_{j_1}\bar{e}_{j_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{j_s}\varphi(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s})$ will be the leading elements of this decomposition. Here $e_{j_1}e_{j_2}\cdots e_{j_s}f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s}$ is the leading element of $\sum e_{i_1}e_{i_2}\cdots e_{i_n}f_{i_1i_2\cdots i_n}$. Next, we have $\varepsilon_2(\bar{e}_{j_1}\bar{e}_{j_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{j_s}\varphi(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s}) + \mathcal{X}^*(U \otimes_K \mathcal{X}^*(W,P)))) = \bar{e}_{j_1}\bar{e}_{j_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{j_s}(\varphi(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s}) + \mathcal{X}^*(W,P)) = \bar{e}_{j_1}\bar{e}_{j_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{j_s}\tilde{\gamma}(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s} + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M))$. Since $\tilde{\gamma}$ is amonomorphism, $\tilde{\gamma}(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s} + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)) \neq 0$. This implies $\bar{e}_{j_1}\bar{e}_{j_2}\cdots\bar{e}_{j_s}\tilde{\gamma}(f_{j_1j_2\cdots j_s} + \mathcal{X}^*(F_1, M)) \neq 0$ and, consequently, $\delta(u) \neq 0$. □

Corollary 1. *Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a multihomogeneous variety of Lie algebras representation, and Θ be a variety of Lie algebras over K. Then the free cyclic pair $(\mathcal{F}/(X \times$*

$\Theta)^*(\mathcal{F}, L), L)$ of the variety $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$ is isomorphic to subrepresentation of mixed $\mathcal{X}$-wreath product $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L)$, generated by the elements $y_i + \bar{x}_i$. Here $L, \mathcal{F}, L_1$ and Φ are the same as in the Theorem and $\bar{x}_i$ is the image of $x_i \in L$ in $L/\Theta(L)$.

Proof. In the Theorem take $M = \Theta(L)$ and use $(\mathcal{X} \times \Theta)^*(\mathcal{F}, L) = \mathcal{F}X^*(F_1, \Theta(L))$. Here $\mathcal{F}_1 = U(\Theta(L))$. □

Corollary 2. *If I is an infinite set then $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta = var(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L))$*

Proof. $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L)$ belongs to $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$. Since – by the Corollary 1 – $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L)$ contains a free pair $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$, then $(\Phi/\mathcal{X}^*(\Phi, L_1), L_1) \overset{\mathcal{X}}{wr} L/\Theta(L)$ generates $\mathcal{X} \times \Theta$. □

References

[1] Bahturin, Yu. Identical relations in Lie Algebras.–Nauka, Moscow (1985); VNU Science Press, Utrecht (1987)

[2] Jacobson, N. Lie Algebras.–Interscience Publishers, New-York–London (1962)

[3] Shmelkin, A. L. Wreath Products of Lie algebras and their application to group theory.–Trudy Moskovs. Matem. O-va, p. 247–260 (1973)

[4] Simonian, L. A. Verbal wreath products in linear representations of groups and Lie algebras.–Latv. Matemat. Ezhegodnik, **18**, p. 170–189 (1976).

E-mail address: `siml@rau.lv`